Interview Summary	10/658,316	KATZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Miller	3677	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) William L. Miller.	(3)		
(2) <u>Matthew Dennehy (#52811)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 May 2006.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Confirmed a reply was not timely filed in response to rejection mailed 11-10-2005.  Applicant will petition to revive  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims			
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview			
requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			_
·	WILLI	AM L. MILLER RY EXAMINER	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sig	nature, if required	

Application No.

Applicant(s)